# Package: icsw (via r-universe)

November 1, 2024

Type Package				
Title Inverse Compliance Score Weighting				
Version 1.0.0				
<b>Date</b> 2018-05-16				
Author Peter M. Aronow <pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre>				
<pre><icsw@deaneckles.com> and Kyle Peyton <kyle.peyton@yale.edu></kyle.peyton@yale.edu></icsw@deaneckles.com></pre>				
Maintainer Kyle Peyton <kyle.peyton@yale.edu></kyle.peyton@yale.edu>				
<b>Description</b> Provides the necessary tools to estimate average treatment effects with an instrumental variable by re-weighting observations using a model of compliance.				
<b>Depends</b> R (>= $3.0.0$ )				
Imports stats				
Suggests rgenoud, minqa				
License MIT + file LICENSE				
LazyLoad yes				
RoxygenNote 6.0.1				
Repository https://kylepeyton.r-universe.dev				
RemoteUrl https://github.com/kylepeyton/icsw				
RemoteRef HEAD				
<b>RemoteSha</b> 7650fc03ed712644e4c78f8a4ff17bd604da470b				
Contents				
icsw-package				
clip.small.probs				
compliance.score				
icsw.tsls				
tsls.wfit				
Index 12				

2 icsw-package

icsw-package

Inverse compliance score weighting

## **Description**

Inverse compliance score weighting is a tool for estimating average treatment effects from local average treatment effects by weighting units using inverse probabilities of complying with an encouragement (instrument).

#### **Details**

Package: icsw
Type: Package
Version: 1.0.0
Date: 2018-05-16

License: MIT LazyLoad: yes

## Author(s)

Peter M. Aronow <peter.aronow@yale.edu>; Dean Eckles <icsw@deaneckles.com>; Kyle Peyton <kyle.peyton@yale.edu>

Maintainer: Kyle Peyton <kyle.peyton@yale.edu>

## References

Bethany Albertson and Adria Lawrence. (2009). After the credits roll: The long-term effects of educational television on public knowledge and attitudes. *American Politics Research*. 37(2): 275-300.

Peter M. Aronow and Allison Carnegie. (2013). Beyond LATE: Estimation of the average treatment effect with an instrumental variable. *Political Analysis*. 21.4 (2013): 492-506.

Peter M. Aronow and Allison Carnegie. (2013). Replication data for: Beyond LATE: Estimation of the average treatment effect with an instrumental variable. *Dataverse Network*. http://hdl.handle.net/1902.1/21729 (accessed May 14, 2015).

clip.small.probs 3

clip.small.probs

Replace probabilities below threshold with threshold value

## **Description**

Return the provided vector with values smaller than the provided threshold replaced with that threshold (i.e., clip the probabilities below a certain value). If the threshold is chosen to match an empirical quantile then this can be used to implement Winsorizing probabilities from below. If no threshold is provided, the smallest value greater than zero is used.

## Usage

```
clip.small.probs(x, min.prob = NULL)
```

## **Arguments**

x Vector of probabilities.

min.prob

Threshold. Values smaller than min.prob are replaced with min.prob. If not provided, set to the smallest value in x greater than 0.

#### **Details**

Used to avoid small probabilities blowing up in inverse probability weighting.

Produces warnings whenever values are actually replaced.

#### Value

Vector the same length as x with, possibly, some entries replaced.

#### Author(s)

Peter M. Aronow <peter.aronow@yale.edu>, Dean Eckles <icsw@deaneckles.com>, Kyle Peyton <kyle.peyton@yale.edu>

```
probs <- seq(0, .01, by = .001)
min(clip.small.probs(probs, .05))

# without min.prob, uses smallest value > 0
min(clip.small.probs(probs))
```

4 compliance.score

compliance.score	Estimate compliance scores using covariates.
------------------	--

## Description

Estimate probability that units are compliers using generalized linear models for probability of being a complier or always-taker and for being an always-taker conditional on being a complier or always-taker. These compliance scores can be used in inverse probability weighting to estimate average treatment effects. In the case of one-sided non-compliance, this estimation is considerably simpler.

#### Usage

```
compliance.score(D, Z, W, weights = NULL,
  link = qnorm, inv.link = pnorm, genoud = TRUE,
  num.iter = ifelse(genoud, 200, 10000),
  one.sided = FALSE)
```

## **Arguments**

D	Binary treatment of interest.
Z	Binary instrument.
W	Matrix of covariates for compliance model.
weights	Observation weights.
link	Link function applied for linear models. Defaults to probit link function.
inv.link	Inverse link function (i.e., mean function) applied for linear models. Defaults to probit mean function.
genoud	Whether to use global optimization via genetic optimization from package rgenoud. Default, and highly recommended because the likelihood need not be concave. Otherwise, use the BOBYQA algorithm for constrained optimization from package minqa.
num.iter	Number of iterations of optimization routine.
one.sided	Whether non-compliance is one-sided (logical). When compliance is one-sided, the previous four arguments are ignored, and the compliance scores are estimated with probit regression.

## **Details**

A unit i is a complier if  $D_{i1} > D_{i0}$ , where  $D_{i1}$  and  $D_{i0}$  are the potential treatments for unit i when Z is set to 1 and 0. This is a latent (unobserved) characteristic of individual units, since each unit is only observed with one value of Z.

By default this function uses genetic optimization via genoud because the loss function for the complier scores is not necessarily convex.

FoxDebate 5

#### Value

Vector of estimated probabilities of being a complier (i.e., compliance scores).

#### Note

Requires rgenoud package if genoud = TRUE. Requires minqa package if genoud = FALSE.

#### Author(s)

Peter M. Aronow <peter.aronow@yale.edu>, Dean Eckles <icsw@deaneckles.com>, Kyle Peyton <kyle.peyton@yale.edu>

#### References

Peter M. Aronow and Allison Carnegie. (2013). Beyond LATE: Estimation of the average treatment effect with an instrumental variable. *Political Analysis*.

#### See Also

Used by icsw.tsls.

6 FoxDebate

#### **Description**

The data set (n=507) contains findings from the experiment described in Albertson and Lawrence (2009) in which a representative sample of survey respondents in Orange County, California, were randomly assigned to receive encouragement to view a Fox debate on affirmative action, which would take place on the eve of the 1996 presidential election. Shortly after the election, these respondents were reinterviewed. The postelection questionnaire asked respondents whether they viewed the debate, whether they supported a California proposition (209) to eliminate affirmative action (support), and how informed they felt about the proposition (infopro). The dataset can be used to reproduce Table 2 in Aronow and Carnegie (2013). Note that mean imputation was used to handle missing data so non-integer values are imputed. support and infopro are excepted and include missing values.

#### Usage

data(FoxDebate)

#### **Format**

A data frame with 507 observations on the following 11 variables:

partyid An 11 point scale from "strong Republican" to "strong Democrat".

- pnintst Respondent interest in politics and national affairs. Coded 1 = "very interested", 2 = "somewhat interested", 3 = "only slightly interested", 4 = "not interested at all".
- watchnat Frequency of national television news consumption. Coded 1 = "never", 2 = "less than once a month", 3 = "once a month", 4 = "several times a month", 5 = "once a week", 6 = "several times a week", 7 = "every day".
- educad Education level of respondent. Coded 1 = "eighth grade or less", 2 = "beyond eighth grade, not high school", 3 = "ged", 4 = "high school", 5 = "less than one year vocational school", 6 = "one to two year vocational school", 7 = "two years or more vocational school", 8 = "less than two years of college", 9 = "two or more years of college", 10 = "finished a two-year college program", 11 = "finished a four-year college program", 12 = "master degree or equivalent", 13 = "ph.d., m.d., or other advance degree".
- readnews How often respondent reads political news. Coded 1 = "never"", 2 = "less than once a month"", 3 = "once a month", 4 = "several times a month", 5 = "once a week", 6 = "several times a week", 7 = "every day".
- gender Respondent gender. Coded 1 for female and 0 for male.
- income Family income from all sources. Coded 1 = "under \$10,000", 2 = "between \$10,000 and \$20,000", 3 = "between \$20,000 and \$30,000", 4 = "between \$30,000 and \$40,000", 5 = "between \$40,000 and \$50,000", 6 = "between \$50,000 and \$60,000", 7 = "between \$60,000 and \$70,000", 8 = "between \$70,000 and \$80,000", 9 = "between \$80,000 and \$90,000", 10 = "between \$90,000 and \$100,000", 11 = "\$100,000 or more".
- white Binary indicator coded 1 if subject is white and 0 otherwise.
- support Support for Proposition 209. Coded 1 if subject voted against or opposed and 0 if subject voted for or favored
- infopro Information on Proposition 209. Coded from 1 to 4, with 4 meaning respondents had a great deal of information about Proposition 209 prior to the election, and 1 meaning respondents reported no information about the proposition before the election.

icsw.tsls 7

watchpro Binary indicator coded 1 if subject watched the Fox Debate about affirmative action and 0 otherwise. This is the outcome ("treatment") of interest.

conditn Binary indicator coded 1 if subject was (randomly) prompted to watch the Fox Debate about affirmative action. This is the encouragement (instrumental) variable.

#### References

Bethany Albertson and Adria Lawrence. (2009). After the credits roll: The long-term effects of educational television on public knowledge and attitudes. *American Politics Research*. 37(2): 275-300.

Peter M. Aronow and Allison Carnegie. (2013). Beyond LATE: Estimation of the average treatment effect with an instrumental variable. *Political Analysis*. 21.4 (2013): 492-506.

Peter M. Aronow and Allison Carnegie. (2013). Replication data for: Beyond LATE: Estimation of the average treatment effect with an instrumental variable. *Dataverse Network*. http://hdl.handle.net/1902.1/21729 (accessed May 14, 2015).

icsw.tsls

Two-stage least squares with inverse complier score weighting

## **Description**

Estimate average treatment effects using two-stage least squares with a binary instrument and binary treatment and weighting with inverse complier scores (probabilities of compliance). Optionally, bootstrap the entire estimation process for the purpose of hypothesis testing and constructing confidence intervals.

## Usage

```
icsw.tsls(D, X, Y, Z, W, weights = NULL,
  R = 0, estimand = c("ATE", "ATT"),
  min.prob.quantile = NULL,
  min.prob = NULL, ...)

icsw.tsls.fit(D, X, Y, Z, W, weights,
  estimand = c("ATE", "ATT"),
  min.prob.quantile = NULL,
  min.prob = NULL, ...)
```

#### **Arguments**

D	Binary treatmen	it o	t in	terest.	
---	-----------------	------	------	---------	--

X Matrix of covariates for two-stage least squares. Add a constant if desired (see examples).

Y Outcome.

Z Binary instrument.

8 icsw.tsls

W Matrix of covariates for compliance model.

weights Observation weights.

R Number of bootstrap replicates.

estimand Whether to estimate average treatment effect (default) or average treatment ef-

fect on the treated.

min.prob.quantile

Compliance scores are truncated to this quantile of positive compliance scores.

min.prob Compliance scores are truncated to this value.
... Additional arguments to compliance.score.

#### Value

If R = 0 or icsw.tsls.fit is called directly, a model fit, as described in lm.wfit.

If R > 0, a list with elements

fitted.model A model fit, as returned by lm.wfit.

coefs.boot p by R matrix of model coefficients for each of R bootstrap replicates.

coefs.se.boot Vector of standard deviations of coefficients under bootstrap resampling (i.e.,

bootstrap standard errors).

#### Author(s)

Peter M. Aronow <peter.aronow@yale.edu>; Dean Eckles <icsw@deaneckles.com>; Kyle Peyton <kyle.peyton@yale.edu>

#### References

Peter M. Aronow and Allison Carnegie. (2013). Beyond LATE: Estimation of the average treatment effect with an instrumental variable. *Political Analysis*.

## See Also

```
compliance.score for calculating compliance scores used in example. tsls.wfit for regression via 2SLS with weights.
```

tsls.wfit 9

```
type = "response"))
IPWweight[Ymis] <- 0</pre>
N <- length(FoxDebate[, "infopro"])</pre>
alpha <- 0.275
# Compute the ATE of watching the Fox Debate on knowledge . This replicates the
# ATE from column 2 of Table 1 in Aronow and Carnegie (2013)
icsw.out <- with(FoxDebate, icsw.tsls(D = watchpro, X = cbind(1, covmat),</pre>
                                           Y = infopro, Z = conditn, W = covmat,
                                           min.prob.quantile = 1 / (N^alpha),
                           weights = IPWweight))
round(icsw.out$coefficients["D"], 2)
# Example with bootstrap (this takes awhile!)
icsw.out <- with(FoxDebate, icsw.tsls(D = watchpro, X = cbind(1, covmat),</pre>
                                       Y = infopro, Z = conditn, W = covmat,
                                       min.prob.quantile = 1 / (N^alpha),
                                       weights = IPWweight, R = 1000))
# Display vector of coefficients
icsw.out$coefficients
# Display vector of (bootstrapped) SEs
icsw.out$coefs.se.boot
```

tsls.wfit

Fit instrumental variables model via two-stage least squares, with weights.

## **Description**

Fits linear first- and second-stage models using weighted linear regression.

Additional arguments to lm.wfit.

## Usage

```
tsls.wfit(X, Y, Z, weights, ...)
```

## **Arguments**

Y Vector outcome.  Z Matrix of covariates and instrument, including constant if intercept is desired weights Observation weights.	X	Matrix of covariates and treatment, including constant if intercept is desired.
	Υ	Vector outcome.
weights Observation weights.	Z	Matrix of covariates and instrument, including constant if intercept is desired.
	weights	Observation weights.

10 tsls.wfit

#### Value

A list with the second stage model fit, as returned by lm.wfit.

#### Author(s)

Peter Aronow <peter.aronow@yale.edu>, Dean Eckles <dean@deaneckles.com>, Kyle Peyton <kyle.peyton@yale.edu>

#### References

Peter M. Aronow and Allison Carnegie. (2013). Beyond LATE: Estimation of the average treatment effect with an instrumental variable. *Political Analysis*.

#### See Also

```
lm.wfit.
compliance.score for calculating compliance scores used in example.
```

```
# Load example dataset, see help(FoxDebate) for details
data(FoxDebate)
# Estimate compliance scores with covariates, assuming (default)
# case of two-sided non-compliance.
covmat <- with(FoxDebate, cbind(partyid, pnintst, watchnat, educad, readnews, gender,</pre>
                                  income, white))
cscoreout <- with(FoxDebate, compliance.score(D = watchpro, Z = conditn,</pre>
                               W = covmat)
# Extract vector of estimated compliance scores
cscore <- cscoreout$C.score</pre>
# Winsorising as described in Aronow and Carnegie (2013)
N <- length(FoxDebate[, "infopro"])</pre>
alpha <- 0.275
qcscore <- quantile(cscore, 1 / (N^alpha))</pre>
cscore[cscore < qcscore] <- qcscore</pre>
# IPW reweighting step Aronow and Carnegie (2013) use for missing data
Ymis <- is.na(FoxDebate[,"infopro"])</pre>
IPWweight <- 1 / (1 - predict(glm(Ymis ~ covmat, family = binomial(link = "probit")),</pre>
                                type = "response"))
IPWweight[Ymis] <- 0</pre>
# Compute LATE via 2SLS with IPW weights. This replicates
    Table 2 Column 1 in Aronow and Carnegie (2013)
outputTSLS <- with(FoxDebate, tsls.wfit(X = cbind(1, covmat, watchpro), Y = infopro,</pre>
                         Z = cbind(1, covmat, conditn), weights = IPWweight))
round(outputTSLS$coefficients, 2)
```

tsls.wfit

## **Index**

```
* datasets
    FoxDebate, 5
* package
    icsw-package, 2

clip.small.probs, 3
compliance.score, 4, 8, 10

FoxDebate, 5
icsw(icsw-package), 2
icsw-package, 2
icsw.tsls, 5, 7

lm.wfit, 8, 10

tsls.wfit, 8, 9
```